

---

## **Corporate Governance in Need of Reforms: What Areas of the System Should Be Reformed First and How?**

---

*Submitted 11/10/22, 1st revision 25/10/22, 2nd revision 21/11/22, accepted 30/12/22*

John E.H.J. FoEh<sup>1</sup>, Diah Ayu Permatasari<sup>2</sup>, Jhonni Sinaga<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract:**

**Purpose:** *The aim of this article is to examine the need for possible changes in Corporate Governance.*

**Design/methodology/approach:** *With the advent of technology, the ease of doing business is exponentially rising day by day. After experiencing the industrial revolution centuries ago, the world has been obsessed with it ever since and there is never a looking back but only moving forward, almost at the speed of a bullet train. With the ease of doing business, particularly in all and every field, the whole concept of industries, investors, proprietorships, negotiations, trade, marketing, almost every key element of a business corporation has evolved and is still evolving with changing times and changing needs.*

**Findings:** *We all know and can agree that change is the only constant in life, and the sooner we adapt to the changes around us, the better and easier it will be for us to keep ourselves updated and advanced.*

**Practical implementation:** *The study will keep us in a sink with this rapidly enhancing globe regarding corporate governance.*

**Originality value:** *With every little change, comes a few setbacks that need immediate or subtle fixing depending upon their intensity.*

**Keywords:** *Corporate governance, industrial revolution, eastern and western countries, existing issues, reform needed. initiative required.*

**Paper Type:** *Research article.*

---

<sup>1</sup>Professor of Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya University, Indonesia.

[john.edward@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id](mailto:john.edward@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id)

<sup>2</sup>Lecturer of Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya University, Indonesia. [Pepy@ubharajaya.ac.id](mailto:Pepy@ubharajaya.ac.id)

<sup>3</sup>Lecturer of Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya University, Indonesia.

[jhonni.sinaga@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id](mailto:jhonni.sinaga@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id)

## **1. Introduction**

The corporate world operates on the same principle. The global advancement in the field of commerce and marketing has also rendered the corporate world with commuted core values, company ambition, strategic means, and manners, stronger and well-defined employer and employee relationships, meaningful communication between co-workers and colleagues, and dedication to development and growth, etc.

A good corporative environment demands the presence of all these key elements mentioned above and some more for it to function in a hassle-free manner and an impactful methodology needs to be derived for it to make the most out of its operation. The goal has to be bigger than just making a profit (Shleifer and Vishny, 1977). The corporate world needs to be socially aware, ethically clean, and legally relevant for it to make a bigger impact on its economy.

Resultantly, the need and relevance of corporate governance have increased in the world today more than they ever had. We need a good and actively conscience governing system in the global corporate sphere, one that not only fixes the problems that have arisen out of weak governance but also lays out the path of sincere dealings and sets an example for the future of the corporate world (Shleifer and Vishny, 1977).

The prosperity of public corporations necessitates a reliable corporate governance system. "Corporate Governance" can be defined as the rules, regulations, and guidelines according to which the numerous units and their separate compartments comprise an institution that forms resolution influencing and affecting the whole environment of the company, with each of the units being authentic with their functionality (Bhagat and Bolton, 2008).

Corporate Governance primarily consists of: (a) contracts which are of either explicit or implicit types between the organizations and the stakeholders for the dispersal of 3R which is Responsibilities, Rights, and Rewards, (b) Measures to reduce the conflicts of interests between different stakeholders, (c) Procedures for appropriate and suitable control and supervision which is essential for the system of check and balance. It is a much-talked-about topic in today's business and financial worlds and almost all of the world's major economies are attempting to define and implement sound corporate governance principles (Monks and Minow, 2011).

## **2. Need for Corporate Governance**

During the last few decades, the level and frequency of fraud increases rapidly and the failure of many governance policies started due to the same. Therefore, to protect and govern any organization properly, a good corporate governance policy is highly needed. Some other reasons for bringing the corporate governance are:

- 
- a. To protect the shareholder's rights, corporate governance is required.
  - b. To lower the influence of high-profile investors on the company's decisions, corporate governance is required.
  - c. Corporate Governance is equally necessary for building Public Confidence and it is equally necessary for revitalizing the poise of investors.
  - d. Also helps the company to attract a hefty amount of capital (Monks and Minow, 1996).

### **3. Principles of Corporate Governance**

The key principles that form the basis of rules and regulations for the corporate are listed below:

- a. Accountability – It means a person is liable for all the decisions he or she takes for the interest or the benefit of the company.
  - a. For example – A Chairman, CFO, or Manager is accountable to the stakeholders or the shareholders of any company.
- b. Independence – To become independent is very much necessary everywhere. Top-level officeholders or the board of directors, CFO, CMD have to take independent decisions for the proper functioning of any company. If the decision of Senior officers gets impacted, then it would lead to the downfall of any company.
- c. Transparency – Disclosure of all the information except secret one is vital for proper development as this stakeholder of any organization knows about day-to-day activities (Sternberg and Salerno, 2008).

### **4. Corporate Governance in Eastern and Western Countries**

Corporate governance systems and practices are not implemented in all countries in the same way. Distinct countries have created different structures and ways of governing their businesses based on the appropriateness of their social culture, market environment, government policy, capital and money market systems, and other considerations. Several countries have developed corporate governance principles and rules, each with its particular set of institutions and conditions.

Stock exchanges, enterprises, and management issued these codes with the backing of the governments and global institutions. In most circumstances, the application of these government regulations is not a compulsion made by law, while rules connected to public disclosure standards may have a persuasive impact (Davis, 2005).

As methods of enhancing and upgrading corporate governance, certain European countries, such as Germany, Austria, and the Netherlands, mandate a dualistic Board of Directors. The Executive Board, which is nearly composed of non-executive directors who represent shareowners and working staff, oversees day-to-day

operations. On the other hand, the Supervisory Board hires and fires executive board members, ascertains their pay, and establishes major commercial judgments.

The Securities and Exchange Board of India Committee on Corporate Governance defines it in India as "management's acknowledgement of shareholders' inalienable rights as genuine owners of the firm and of their own duty as trustees in the name of the shareholders." The "Anglo-American model" of the same places high value on shareholder interests. It is governed by a solitary Board of Directors, which is often controlled by non-executive directors who are elected by shareholders.

In the United Kingdom, the CEO does not usually simultaneously serve as Chairman of the Board, although in the US, the dual job has long been the norm, despite serious concerns about the impact on corporate governance. Corporations are managed directly by state laws in the United States (Claessens, 2006). The corporate system and structure of the United Kingdom and the United States are characterized by diffused ownership and ownership stake, with a substantial percentage of shares subscribed by the general public.

The capital markets in developed countries are robust, with substantial shareholder engagement. Corporate enterprises must adhere to severe disclosure guidelines to safeguard investors. In these countries, the cornerstone of effective governance is the "code of best practices."

The Japanese and German models, on the other hand, are somewhat distinct, even though Asian countries are not converging toward identical governance systems. The centrality of particular ideas is a point of agreement among reform proponents in each country (Chong and Lopez-de-Silanes, 2007).

## **5. Recent Developments in Corporate Governance Norms**

The Corporate Governance framework aims to safeguard the engrossment of the minor stakeholders' say in the organization. It makes the board accountable to corporates and the members. Its importance is increasingly recognized in the corporate world as it promotes transparency, accountability, and trust to enhance investment, stability, and growth by value creation. It is also a leading pioneer in building affluence, job creation, and a supportable and maintainable commercial atmosphere (du Plessis *et al.*, 2018).

### **a. Greater attention toward director independence**

Independent directors act as coaches and mentors in the company rather than puppets suffering in the hands of the founders of the company. They enhance the competence of the board by ensuring objective decisions which are financially feasible for the company. They secure a right to question the board in all the decisions. They assist in enhancing trustworthiness and regimen degrees by working and helping in managing risk.

**b. Board Evaluations**

Board Evaluations play a key role in ensuring a good understanding of directors' duties and protecting the rights and interests of the community and shareholders. It will certainly help the manager or the executive to abide by incorruptibility, as to promote the interest of the stakeholders. It also examines the role of the board, and its responsibilities and assesses how the Board fulfils its obligations. The Higgs report of 2003, was one of the board evaluation reports which highlighted the sheer importance of position duty and effectual operation of independent directors in the U.K., (OECD Principles of Corporate Governance 2004, 2004).

**c. Information Technology Governance**

The growth in the number of the companies has become enormous and so it's dependence on the online cloud platforms. Technology advancement has created both opportunity and risk. Most companies are engaged in data management using AI and cloud-based technology to safeguard the data. The evaluation of tenders has also become online.

**d. Shareholders activism**

Shareholder activism consists of shareholders voting rights, discussions, communications, and behavioural influences. Acts as a very strong mechanism as the shareholders can influence the company by exercising their rights. They can exert their rights and powers on par with the owners of the company. Through this, they have the ability to act as a powerful catalyst by creating value for corporate growth. They can bring the desired rotation in the functioning of the companies and influence the management on the governance mechanism.

**e. Revival of activists and increased capital market activity**

As pointed out in the global inclination, shareholder activists are expected to carry forward the revival in 2021 as the world commences to view the post-pandemic future.

**f. Further focus on Environmental, Social, and Governance**

Apart from a global focus on responsible corporate governance, ecologically sustainable and civic issues seem to be the top priorities for investors, evolving from a local or national focus to a major worldwide phenomenon. In most cases, both the board and management are in the procedure of exchanging views on the best ways to explain, integrate, and monitor E & S issues that are important to the business and its profit maximization. In addition to this, the cultural and the ground socio-economic data and analysis play a very important role in overseeing the board.

**6. What Are the Existing Issues in Corporate Governance?**

Corporate governance is challenging, and it only gets more complicated as the world constantly changes at a faster pace. "Many multinational firms have dominating influence over business matters without sufficient accountability or monitoring by their board of directors," Lorsch and MacIver write (Mallin, 2019). The duties of

Directors, the construction and stability of the Board, Director remuneration and reward, the lucidity of budgetary detailing and outsider auditors, the Board's culpability for threat' and insider control, Shareholders' Rights and Responsibilities, and Collective Civic Authority and Business Morality are among the major issues discussed around the world (Tricker and Tricker, 2015).

- a. Mistrust Environment:-** In recent years, deceit, deception, treachery, and embezzlement of public funds have taken place as a result of the corrupt undertakings of the executives and the board members. This has shackled the trust of the existing and potential capitalists in the credibility of sound practices of the companies.
- b. Transparency and Data Protection:-** What information has to be disclosed and what hasn't been provided under the code of transparency in corporate governance. And in today's cutthroat competitive world, it will be a hazard if the wrong information will be disclosed. Data Protection and Digitization privacy are central governance issues. The board must ensure the protection of data from misuse.
- c. Founder's Dominance in the Board:-** There do not exist detached identities of businesses. Hence, the founders and the promoters of the company continuously influence the business decisions. These promoters influence the board and the management. This is because they own a significant portion of the company's share.
- d. Removal of Independent Directors:-** The Independent directors can be easily removed by the majority shareholders and the promoters under law. This happens when they didn't agree with the decisions of the promoter. To save their position they have to work according to the fancies of the promoters.
- e. Selection Procedure:-** The Law requires a healthy mix of executive, non-executive, and independent directors along with women directors. This provision is only complied on paperwork as in the real world, the appointments are still by way of word of mouth or fellow board member recommendations. Thus, we can see the appointment of family members on the board.
- f. Business Structure and Internal Conflicts:-** Business structures that require huge layers of management also pose a significant challenge to corporate governance. It thus poses a certain hindrance to the company leaders to receive accurate information from lower levels and to command orders from them as the information may get distorted at any point of the chain.
- g. Term of the Board Members:-** The life-term members can pose many problems to businesses say fixed beliefs, power gaining, etc so the appointment of members for a long term should be avoided. And the short-term members will not be able to take extensive injunction because of their efficiency as they will be changed or removed from their posts.

## 7. Reforms Needed to Overcome the Existing Issues

When organizations are small, inadequate governance may be overlooked, but when huge sums and a big number of people are involved, problems are more difficult to dismiss. Corporate Governance Reforms can be elaborated as an intentional interference in a country's corporate governance.

They are scrutinized and applied through the notification of a well-drafted and well-tabulated set of corporate governance standards or modification to countries' corporate and securities laws regarding the position and formation of all the stakeholders, including the division of privileges and competencies between management, shareholders, and other stakeholders; the role of media in information dispersion; and the protection of whistle-blowers and penalty enhancement of corporate fraud (Baker and Anderson, 2010). The initiatives required to improve corporate governance levels all over the world are:

### **a. Financial issues should be monitored, and financial discipline should be enforced by audit committees**

The Audit Committee for Corporate Governance must (a) investigate any activity that falls within its terms of reference, (b) obtain data and details through workers, (c) seek valid lawful guidance, and (d) secure the presence of any new incomer with necessary proficiency.

### **b. The board structure should be balanced**

The designation and resignation of self-dependent directors are currently done by majority vote. As a result, independent directors are appointed at the request of the controlling shareholders and must operate by the majority's wishes. As a result, these directors are unable to communicate their ideas independently and honestly, limiting their effectiveness and defeating the objective of appointing independent directors.

### **c. Priority is given to persistent disclosures**

Currently, transactions are disclosed to stock exchanges periodically. The effectiveness of the disclosure is hampered by the fact that the information is available to investors much later than when the transactions were completed. Investors, the public, and regulators would be able to better scrutinize transactions if they were disclosed more frequently, increasing transparency and data protection (Welge and Eulerich, 2021).

### **d. CFOs and CEOs must be made explicitly accountable for their actions**

The owners of the corporation bear a fiduciary responsibility towards the minor shareowners and the corporation. There have been instances where controlling shareholders have used the firm for their gain at the expense of the company's and its shareholders' overall interests (Keasey *et al.*, 1997). Leading owners should be given defined fiduciary responsibilities and the possibility of requiring a relationship agreement between the organization and the majority stakeholders stating their duties and responsibilities.

**e. Refinement of the Selective mechanism**

The designation given and resignation taken of the self-dependent directors are currently done by majority vote. As a result, independent directors are appointed at the request of the controlling shareholders and must operate by the majority's wishes. These directors are then unable to communicate their ideas independently and honestly, limiting their effectiveness and defeating the objective of appointing independent directors (Coffee Jr., and Coffee, 2006).

**f. The role of auditors needs to be changed from watchdog to that of whistle-blowers**

Whistle-blower Policy is becoming an important aspect of corporate governance. If organizations are successful in developing a strong whistleblowing framework, it will help discover any wrongdoing and deter employees from engaging in unethical behaviour (Roe, 2002). The current law exclusively protects whistle-blowers who disclose corruption, fraud, and irregularities in the government sector.

In addition, regulators and concerned authorities must take logical and fair-minded actions to put in place an effective whistle-blower policy that protects whistle blowers' identities and prevents them from being victimized or harassed (Roe, 1996). Companies must also hold complainants liable if they file a fraudulent claim.

**8. Conclusion**

After years of research and policy advocacy, corporations appear to be taking things more seriously, with public company boards becoming more diverse, significant investors becoming more involved, and directors being more accountable than before.

The modern corporate model is founded on a system of honesty, trust, integrity, openness, responsibility, accountability, and confidence, and it assumes that shareholders entrust directors to use the company's assets for the company's long-term sustainability and shareholder value. The matter of revelation, lucidity, unit consideration, the role of directors, and the degree of answerability towards the shareholders, and the general public need to be revisited.

Corporate governance is defined as the promotion and maintenance of integrity, transparency, and accountability at the highest levels of management. It is not only a requirement for surviving in competitive fieldwork for long-term growth in the rising global market environment, but it also embodies the values of justice, responsibility, disclosures, and transparency to maximize value.

The degree or the extent of Corporate Governance should be lofty so that the company or the organization looks stronger in the eyes of shareholders or the stakeholders and also among the general public. But to ensure that degree we need to have proper sets of rules and their implications in a better manner. Although we have several strict rules still fraud and other scams are going on repeatedly in a much



faster way. Therefore, preventing such acts, it is required to have good corporate governance and better transparency policies.

### References:

- Baker, H.K., Anderson, R. (Eds.). 2010. *Corporate Governance: A Synthesis of Theory, Research, and Practice*. Wiley.
- Bhagat, S., Bolton, B. 2008. Corporate governance and firm performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, Volume 14, Issue 3, 257-273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2008.03.006>.
- Chong, A., Lopez-de-Silanes, F. (Eds.). 2007. *Investor Protection and Corporate Governance: Firm-level Evidence Across Latin America*. Stanford Economics and Finance, Stanford University Press.
- Claessens, S. 2006. Corporate Governance and Development. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 21(1), 91-122. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lkj004>.
- Coffee Jr., J.C., Coffee, J.C. 2006. *Gatekeepers: The Professions and Corporate Governance*. OUP Oxford.
- Davis, G.F. 2005. New Directions in Corporate Governance. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 31, 143-162. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.31.041304.122249>.
- du Plessis, J.J., Harris, J., Hargovan, A. 2018. *Principles of Contemporary Corporate Governance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gillan, S.L. 2006. Recent Developments in Corporate Governance: An Overview. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 12(3), 381-402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2005.11.002>.
- Keasey, K., Thompson, S., Wright, M. (Eds.). 1997. *Corporate Governance: Economic and Financial Issues*. OUP Oxford.
- Mallin, C.A. 2019. *Corporate Governance*. Oxford University Press.
- Monks, R.A.G., Minow, N. 1996. *Watching the Watchers: Corporate Governance for the 21st Century*. Wiley.
- Monks, R.A.G., Minow, N. 2011. *Corporate Governance*. Wiley.
- OECD Principles of Corporate Governance 2004. 2004. OECD Publishing.
- Roe, M.J. 1996. *Strong Managers, Weak Owners: The Political Roots of American Corporate Finance*. Princeton University Press.
- Roe, M.J. 2002. *Political Determinants of Corporate Governance*. Oxford University Press.
- Shleifer, A., Vishny, R.W. 1977. A Survey of Corporate Governance. *The Journal of the American Finance Association*, 52(2), 737-783. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-6261.1997.tb04820.x>
- Sternberg, D.S., Salerno, M.P. 2008. *Clery Gottlieb Mergers & Acquisitions and Corporate Governance Report\_June 2008*. Clery Gottlieb. Retrieved from: <https://www.clearygottlieb.com/-/media/organize-archive/cgsh/files/publication-pdfs/cgmacgreport0608.pdf>.
- Tricker, R.I., Tricker, B. 2015. *Corporate Governance: Principles, Policies, and Practices*. Oxford University Press.
- Welge, M.K., Eulerich, M. 2021. *Corporate-Governance-Management: Theorie und Praxis der guten Unternehmensführung*. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.