# Land and Capital Accumulation in Greek Agriculture by Region (1950-2010)

# Theodore Papaelias<sup>1</sup>, Vasilis Panagou, Maria Rodosthenous

#### Abstract:

After the liberation (1828) the land that belonged to the Turks became state-owned land. A large part of the state's revenue was covered by the rent. The distribution of national domain, as it was called; in the year 1871 solved the problem that arose by the farmer's discomfort against the state-landlord. A new important issue arose by the adaptation of Thessaly (1881), where the Turks when they were leaving, sold their land, often for very low price, to Greek capitalists. Thus, the manors appeared. This issue took tremendous dimensions at the beginning of the 20th century with the villagers' riots at this region. After the Balkan Wars (1912, 1913) and World War I the annexation of Macedonia, Epirus and Thrace, where domains prevailed, inflated the problem. The entrance of the refugees after the Asia Minor disaster (1922) led the governments to extended land consolidation. In order to multiply the farming lands, at the decade of 1930, large productive works were carried out in northern Greece (drainage of lakes, ponds etc.). After World War II the program of landimprovement works was accelerated and as a result the number of irrigated lands was significantly increased and the cultivated land differed, while the plantations expanded very quickly. During 1950 to 80 through subsidy loans by the public Agriculture Bank, a modernization of agriculture was attempted (mechanization, improved seeds, pesticides etc) and restructuring of farming was partially achieved. The aim of this paper hereof is double: Firstly, it seeks to investigate, something which does not exist in Greek bibliography, what was the value of the land, in relevance to the capital accumulation, not only in the whole country, but also per prefecture and secondly, which was the contribution of the land improvement at the growth of the product. The data arose from long-term investigation of authors over the subject of framing the agriculture economy sizes and the method was based on the archives consultation.

Key Words: Capital Accumulation, Land Improvement, Agriculture Economy, Prefecture, Evaluation

JEL Classification: 013, R14

Greece, thpap@teipir.gr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Papaelias Theodore, TEI of Piraeus, department of Accounting, 250 Thivon & Petrou Ralli, Egaleo,

#### 1. Introduction

After the Revolution (1821-1828) the state kept the land of the Turks<sup>i</sup> under its possession. Zografos (1921 - 1924) estimates that the total land which was cultivated by Greeks and Turks before the revolution was less than 1/5 of farmland. This means, that a big part of the land remained unexploited<sup>ii</sup>.

The properties of the Turkish people which were removed were rented by the state to the peasants (landless or not) these were called "*national domain*". Until 1871, the major portion of these lands that were distributed to farmers was usurped. It has been estimated that they had contributed approximately 5-6% in state revenues<sup>iii</sup> during the period 1840-1870.

The next reform took place in 1922, with the distribution of estates (manors). The annexation of Thessaly in Greece (1881) created the problem of large landholding. Turks fleeing sold their land to Greek capitalists. The annexation of Macedonia that followed (1912-13) and Eastern Macedonia and Thrace in 1919, where the estates dominated, created great topic (*the agrarian question*). The reform that was announced in 1917 was completed on the eve of the Second World War.

# 2. Methodological Problems in the Presentation of Financial Data

This essay is derived from longtime primary research and concerns findings of an extended period in which they occur substantial differences in the estimation method and information presentation. Before 1988, the system of national accounts, using the methodology of the immediate post-war experience of United Nations, provided a uniform way of recording and presenting data and, despite the periodic changes, they maintained a sort of continuity (were compared). The subsequent changes, especially after the use of ESA 95, were substantial. As the result frequently the figures are not comparable. Nevertheless, with micro "breakdowns", someone could compose, at least until 2000 in relative deviation from reality, a complete economic time series of data from 1950 to 2000 (and earlier). But the country's accession to the Eurozone interrupted the continuity and any approaches to the past remain problematic. After careful consideration, it was decided to evaluate the findings in U.S. dollars, perhaps not the best solution. The advantage of this decision is that for decades it constitutes the most recognizable currency in the world and easily can make internationally comparisons. However, such a similar deflator -naturallydistorts more or less the reality.

#### 3. Public Fixed Capital of Land Reclamation

The public net fixed capital of land reclamation during decades 1950, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 2000 is presented in millions US\$ - *Table 1*. Note that the fixed capital of reclamation (irrigation in the first level, flood control and drainage in the second) is the pivotal component of net fixed capital, both at country and regional level.

Of course, this evolution was not the same in all area during these fifty years. The indices showed that, on basis 1950 = 100, the fastest change occurred in Attica, Western Macedonia and Western Greece<sup>iv</sup>.

Initially, because of the large projects undertaken in the period 1930-40 in the zone of Macedonia, the capital accumulation of land reclamation was the largest in this region. In 1950 in Central and Eastern Macedonia and Thrace it constituted 79.2% of the total<sup>v</sup>. Postwar, weight was given in Western Greece so that the fixed capital in 1950 stood at 1.6% and in 2000 approached 24% of the total.

#### 4. Private Fixed Capital: Land Improvements

This capital showed strong fluctuations in the reported period. During the first thirty years it increased rapidly (*Table 2*).

Subsequently, it is presented decreased (1980-1990) and then seemed to recover slightly. This capital related to expenditures on land improvements and irrigation, where farmers have gone in order to improve their own productivity on their land. Often they were "obliged" to do it, because of consolidations (redistributions of land) that were made, as a result of public works.

Previously, especially during 1830-1930 (Evelpides, 1957), these costs were extremely important, but they were not being valued, and they constituted the so-called invisible (unrecorded) investments<sup>vi</sup>.

On the basis on 1950 = 100, the largest change occurred in Western Greece and then in Athens and Crete<sup>vii</sup>. In many areas public investment (involving mainly irrigation) had as result the "attraction" of private, so as the farmers to receive benefits from public works.

If somebody investigates the structure of this capital through the time, he will see (Papailias, 2012) that in 2000, in Central Macedonia (as in 1950) the largest land improvements were implemented by farmers. Equally significant investments are recorded in Western Greece<sup>viii</sup>.

### 5. The Value of Land ("Land Capital")

The findings regarding the value of land first appeared in 2003 (Papailias, Panagou 2003) and counted inventory. From the total cultivated land the plantations were removed, as these were the vegetable section (plants); thus, leaving the value of the arable and horticultural. Also, the greenhouses units' land was not included, because they are assessed in the private fixed capital.

The value of land was approached during the years 1950, 1955, 1960, 1970, 1980, 1988, 1990 and 2000.

*Table 3* shows the valuation of the land at the region level.

The structure reveals severe alterations. After 1990, the land becomes object of speculation. The largest share is detected in Central Macedonia (23.2% in 1950, 26.6% in 2000), Thessaly (15.6% at the beginning and 18.7% at the end of the period) and Central Greece (11.9% in 1950, 10.5% in 2000) ix.

The fastest evolution occurred in Attica (9.7 times), Crete (1.8 times), and South Aegean (1.7 times). In several regions there was a serious decline (in the Peloponnese value shrank to 60% of that of 1950, while in Western Macedonia with indices 1950 = 100 in 2000 fell to 66). But the greatest reduction was recorded in the Epirus region (the indices slipped to 52)<sup>x</sup>.

So, the value of the land tends to decline or to remain stable. We notice the exception of Attica, the islands of the South Aegean and Crete region, where both of them (rural and urban lands' values) verge to equalize, due to tourism development, after 1980.

# 6. The "Land Capital" in the Assets Holdings

Tables 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 reflect the participation of the value of land in the Greek assets holdings en block during years 1950-2000 respectively.

In  $1950 - Table\ 4$ - the value of land consisted in 52% of total assets, while fixed capital from public and private investment was just 6,3%. The value of plant ("Plant capital") was the next strong component (31%). On the contrary the current (basically short-term loans from the Agricultural Bank) was 2%.

In 1960 - Table 5- the share of land seems to shrink, touching to 46% compared to 30% of plant and 11.7% of fixed capital (public and private accumulation).

In 1970 – Table 6- the share of the land was decline quite significantly (31.7%) despite the increase of cultivated land, while it was significantly over the plant

capital (33.8%), which became the largest component of assets, and fixed (22.5%). The period 1950-1980 was one which the *double developmental squeeze* was applied. The result was to leave the countryside, between 1955 and 1971, 1.4 million farmers for the abroad or the cities with consequence the considerable fall of the value of the land

The income decreased extremely, consequently, the dictatorship in 1968 decided to delete debts 100 thousand drachmas per farm. The capital accumulation was achieved mainly because of public activity and loans (long-term) that were granted by ATE.

The same trend prevailed, as said, and in 1980 - *Table 7*. The value of land was reduced to 28.6%, while the accumulation of capital from public and private investment amounted to 33.3% and it was the main component of the total assets of the agricultural holdings. The value of plants as percentage of the total assets was decreased.

*Table 8* shows the evolution of the assets of all the holdings, after a decade's participation in E.E. (Greece's accession 01.01.1981). The percentage of the value of land appears to incline slightly, like the plants, whose share increases faster. Instead, the public (mainly) and, secondarily, private investment declined in pace, resulting in decline of participation of capital accumulation in the assets of the country.

In 2000 - *Table 9* - the fixed capital has lost all its momentum (public investments cover slightly the amount of depreciation), while private is also low. The plants rose rapidly (mainly, it refers to olive groves). The production of the olive in Greece is enormous, so the country is now close to Italy with potential to become the second largest producer of olive-oil after Spain worldwide.

The value of the land rose significantly. A part of the land in many regions of the country like Crete, South, North Aegean Island, Attica etc. becomes marketable due to urban and tourist development. Particularly, in many islands, the theory of George (1879) seems to find vindication.

#### 7. Evaluation of Land Capital in Agricultural Production

Table 10 presents the basic components of the agricultural sector: area of cultivated land, capital accumulation, rural output and employment in selected years during 1911-2000. It is presumed that in 1911, 850.000 farmers with capital 31.2 billion drachmas (at constant prices 1970) cultivated 9.9 million m<sup>2</sup> and created income of 10 billion drachmas (at constant prices of 1970).

In 1990, after one remarkable modernization (the mechanization of Greek agriculture during the era 1950-1980 was one of the fastest in the world), the following situation appeared: 895 thousands of farmers (some more of those during 1911) in 35,1 million m^2 compared with 9.9 in 1911 produced 56.9 billion drachmas product in 1970 prices.

That means that, the same number of people having capital 7 times bigger and 3.5 times more land created income 6 times larger. Of course, this approach does not take into account the improvement of productivity of the soil<sup>xi</sup>. This partly reflected in the value of land reclamation <sup>xii</sup>.

According to Evelpides (1950, 1957), the agricultural holdings remained short in the immediate post-war years (1949-1953). As demonstrated (Papailias 2012), they were deficient, *grosso modo*, in the total country during all the postwar space. The economic surplus was transferred to the urban sector. As proposed (Andreades 1919, Sakellaropoulos 1991, Tsoukalas 1983, Kayser 1964), throughout this period the creation of stable social and political structures capable of "prying" the surplus of the villagers, was impossible.

It seems that the increase land's productivity, such as dimly apparent from *Table 10*, was a critical factor in the growth of product. It should not escape our attention that the data, which show the rural product, hide a part of the reality. Due to the *double developmental squeeze*, the prices of agricultural commodities were controlled, during this period, in relation to those of the urban sector (internal terms of trade were obviously against farmers). Consequently, the total increase of the value hides the largest growth of the volume production. It is estimated<sup>xiii</sup> that in the era 1950-1980, while the value tripled *-Table 10* – the volume multiplied more than five times. It's explained, mainly, by the improvement of land's productivity (it was the major component).

#### 8. Conclusion

Throughout the period 1828-2012, the peasant movement was not developed in Greece. The prevalence of individual use of the land (Karavidas 1931), although damaging to the medium farmer, has enabled the state to divert financial resources from agriculture to benefit the urban sector (crafts, industry, trade and services in general). The structure of the rural sector -a classic case of what is called in Political Economy perfect competition - has not allowed the peasants to exploit to their benefit the increased productivity of the land (Papailias 2012). The main victims of any growth achieved in Greece were the farmers, at least in the period 1828-1980. Later, the EU subsidies will increase the income of rural people, but in the other hand have undermining the prospects in long term period to progress. The improvements to the land, after the country's accession to the EU, declined. The

crops remained about the same, the capital accumulation almost tends to stop, as consequence the end of subsidies that expect after 2013, with result to create an acute question of survival in the midst holdings.

Table 1

Public Fixed Capital of Land Reclamation (1950-2000)

In U.S. Dollars Million

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	0,1	0,0	4,1	11,5	50,7	47,2
Central Greece- Euboea	14,5	13,0	26,9	106,5	173,5	156,6
Western Greece	4,5	15,4	204,0	1104,3	1350,7	1151,1
Peloponnese	8,9	9,1	32,6	252,8	230,3	254,4
Ionian Island	0,5	0,8	1,2	3,9	7,6	8,2
Epirus	11,5	16,6	49,8	175,7	285,8	295,4
Thessaly	17,7	21,2	62,6	355,9	475,3	397,0
West. Macedonia	0,1	1,0	7,8	42,9	85,2	94,0
East. Macedonia-Thrace	39,4	44,3	118,5	569,6	937,3	830,7
Central Macedonia	188,3	174,3	320,4	1180,8	1670,9	1363,1
South Aegean Island	0,0	0,1	0,8	3,4	14,0	13,0
North Aegean Island	0,0	0,4	1,6	7,8	11,8	11,6
Crete	2,1	2,2	9,5	81,6	256,7	196,1
Total	287,5	298,4	839,7	3896,9	5550,0	4818,5

Table 2
Private Fixed Capital: Land Improvements (1950-2000)

In U.S.Dollars Million

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	1,2	2,2	6,0	44,3	31,6	49,7
Central Greece- Euboea	6,9	14,7	30,8	87,0	64,5	108,2
West. Greece	7,2	36,4	94,4	458,5	426,5	467,4
Peloponnese	8,1	15,6	24,0	88,8	74,1	93,2
Ionian Island	2,7	4,0	7,1	24,1	16,8	19,6
Epirus	4,6	7,0	18,4	89,1	80,7	76,3
Thessaly	7,3	11,6	34,6	150,9	146,0	162,6
West. Macedonia	3,0	2,4	5,3	21,2	32,6	45,9
East. Macedonia-Thrace	7,1	16,0	40,9	157,9	114,6	113,1
Central Macedonia	35,0	68,1	138,8	534,0	472,6	497,6
South Aegean Island	2,0	2,4	4,7	19,5	20,7	29,9
North Aegean Island	2,5	3,3	6,7	19,3	10,3	12,3
Crete	5,7	11,5	24,3	91,4	98,1	137,1
Total	93,4	195,0	436,1	1.785,9	1.589,2	1.812,9

Table 3
"Land capital" per regions

In U.S. Dollars Million

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	40,2	27,4	33,6	216,6	826,6	2.352,3
Central Greece- Euboea	461,3	291,6	323,2	1.012,2	1.348,3	3.300,6
West. Greece	327,3	211,4	224,7	899,3	1.208,9	2.918,2
Peloponnese	384,4	240,8	209,7	566,6	568,9	1.397,2
Ionian Island	41,0	26,8	25,2	61,4	91,7	311,8
Epirus	152,7	89,3	82,3	222,4	238,1	475,8
Thessaly	288,5	370,1	477,1	1.640,9	2.730,5	5.853,2
West. Macedonia	254,9	178,9	180,6	326,4	528,1	1.012,6
East. Macedonia-Thrace	452,2	321,4	357,1	1.043,4	1.157,8	2.885,4
Central Macedonia	900,5	570,8	718,6	2.489,5	3.382,8	8.335,5
South Aegean Island	79,0	51,0	45,9	148,8	275,0	757,8
North Aegean Island	69,1	44,5	35,9	117,5	141,8	431,0
Crete	119,4	87,9	96,6	257,7	778,2	1.305,1
Total	3.570,5	2.512,1	2.810,5	9.002,8	13.276,5	31.336,4

Table 4
Structure of Assets Total Country 1950

Assets	In U.S. Dollars	%
Total fixed capital	433,0	6,29
Plants	2.128,0	30,93
Livestock	613,0	8,91
Land	3.571,0	51,90
Current	136,0	1,98
Total	6.880,0	100,00

Source: Papaelias-Panagou (2003)

Table 5
Structure of Assets Total Country 1960

Assets	In U.S. Dollars	%
Total fixed capital	641,0	11,71
Plants	1.633,0	29,83
Livestock	461,0	8,42
Land	2.512,0	45,88
Current	228,0	4,16
Total	5.475,0	100,00

Table 6
Structure of Assets Total Country 1970

Assets	In U.S. Dollars	%
Total fixed capital	1992,00	22,49
Plants	2994,00	33,80
Livestock	551,00	6,22
Land	2811,00	31,73
Current	511,00	5,77
Total	8.859,00	100,00

Source: Papaelias-Panagou (2003)

Table 7
Structure of Assets Total Country 1980

Assets	In U.S. Dollars	%
Total fixed capital	10.493	33,34
Plants	7.603	24,15
Livestock	2.567	8,16
Land	9.003	28,60
Current	1.810	5,75
Total	31.476,00	100,00

Table 8
Structure of Assets Total Country 1990

Assets	In U.S. Dollars	%
Total fixed capital	13.353,00	29,88
Plants	12.302,00	27,52
Livestock	3.532,00	7,90
Land	13.276,00	29,70
Current	2.232,00	4,99
Total	44.695,00	100,00

Source: Papaelias-Panagou (2003)

Table 9
Structure of Assets Total Country 2000

Assets	In U.S. Dollars	%
Total fixed capital	14.237	16,26
Plants	35.353	40,38
Livestock	3.515	4,01
Land	31.336	35,79
Current	3.116	3,56
Total	87.557,00	100,00

Table10

Land, capital, employment, product (1911-2000)

Year	Land ('000 stremmas.)	Capital ('000.000 prices 1970)	Product ('000.000 prices 1970)	Employment ('000 person)
1911	9.913	31.218	9.985	850
1940	27.380	85.027	17.013	1.949
1950	27.253	81.760	20.683	1.892
1960	35.630	124.161	29.863	2.039
1970	34.463	168.452	47.058	1.658
1980	34.917	214.377	60.499	1.281
1990	35.100	220.463	56.900	895
2000	34.900	238.200	61.300	680

Source: Years 1911-1990 Papailias (1992), year 2000 Papailias-Panagou (2003

<sup>i</sup>Residents in 1821:

Regions	Greeks	Turks
Peloponnese	458.000	47.750
Central Greece & Euboea	247.850	20.865

Source: Zografos (1921) pp. 461-2

ii

Regions	Greeks	Turks	Total	Total	%
				areas	cultivated
					areas
	In stremma	S			
Peloponnese	1.500.000	3.000.000	4.500.000	22.200.000	20,45
Central	2.629.100	1.480.130	4.109.230	24.078.000	17,06
Greece &					
Euboea					

Source: Zografos (1921) p. 461

iv Public Fixed Capital of Land Reclamation (1950-2000) Indices(1950 = 100)

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	100	93	6.906	6.173	19.636	21.832
Central Greece- Euboea	100	90	125	159	187	201
West. Greece	100	347	3.088	5.338	4.716	4.796
Peloponnese	100	103	248	615	405	533
Ionian Island	100	165	162	169	236	302
Epirus	100	145	293	330	388	478
Thessaly	100	120	239	434	418	417
West Macedonia	100	868	4.715	8.314	11.932	15.690
East. Macedonia-	100	113	203	312	371	392
Thrace						
Central Macedonia	100	93	115	135	138	135
South Aegean Island						
North Aegean Island						
Crete	100	102	301	826	1.876	1.710
Total	100	104	197	293	301	312

iii According to Soutsos the number of growers on *national domain*: 1. ranged between ½ and 1/3 of the total rural population, 2. Paid the tithe (varying from 8% to 30%) and the right of usufruct (15%) of the gross product, 3. The national domain were a total of 6 million stremmas (1/3 of arable land), 4. The right to property brought to the public about 2 million drachmas annually. Soutsos (1868). See also Sideres (1933), Vergopoulos (1975), Andreades (1939)

<sup>v</sup>Structure of Public Fixed Capital of Land Reclamation (1950-2000) %

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	0,01	0,01	0,49	0,30	0,91	0,98
Central Greece- Euboea	5,04	4,37	3,20	2,73	3,13	3,25
Western Greece	1,55	5,16	24,3	28,34	24,34	23,89
			0			
Peloponnese	3,09	3,04	3,89	6,49	4,15	5,28
Ionian Island	0,18	0,28	0,14	0,10	0,14	0,17
Epirus	3,99	5,56	5,94	4,51	5,15	6,13
Thessaly	6,16	7,09	7,45	9,13	8,56	8,24
West. Macedonia	0,04	0,32	0,93	1,10	1,54	1,95
East. Macedonia-	13,71	14,86	14,1	14,62	16,89	17,24
Thrace			1			
Central Macedonia	65,49	58,42	38,1	30,30	30,11	28,29
			5			
South Aegean Island	0,00	0,05	0,09	0,09	0,25	0,27
North Aegean Island	0,00	0,12	0,18	0,20	0,21	0,24
Crete	0,74	0,72	1,13	2,09	4,62	4,07
Total	100,00	100,00	100,	100,0	100,0	100,0
			00	0	0	0

 $<sup>^{</sup>vi}$ An estimation to latent (unrecorded) investments in the period 1911-1940 see Papaelias (1992)

viiPrivate Fixed Capital: Land Improvements(1950-2000), indices, 1950 = 100

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	100	187	343	804	502	694
Central Greece- Euboea	100	212	299	270	175	259
West. Greece	100	507	885	1.373	1.116	1.079
Peloponnese	100	193	199	236	172	191
Ionian Island	100	145	175	189	115	118
Epirus	100	152	269	416	329	274
Thessaly	100	158	318	442	374	367
West. Macedonia	100	82	120	155	208	258
East. Macedonia-						
Thrace	100	225	387	477	303	264
Central Macedonia	100	196	268	330	255	237
South Aegean Island	100	123	161	213	198	252
North Aegean Island	100	135	184	168	79	83
Crete	100	204	289	347	326	401
Total	100	210	315	413	321	323

VIII C	(D '	r. 1	$\alpha \cdot 1$	7 17		(1050 2000	10/
\tructure \	it Privata	HIVOA	l anital.	I ana im	nravamente	/ / UNII_ // III	1 4/6
v <sup>111</sup> Structure o	n i nivaie	1 incu	Cupiiai.	Lunu m	provements	1 2 2 0 - 2 0 0 0 .	/ / 0

<u></u>	Trent Ben	tet imp e	rememb	(1)00 =0	00),0	
Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	1,27	1,13	1,39	2,48	1,99	2,74
Central Greece- Euboea	7,45	7,52	7,07	4,87	4,06	5,97
West. Greece	7,71	18,64	21,65	25,67	26,84	25,78
Peloponnese	8,70	7,98	5,49	4,97	4,66	5,14
Ionian Island	2,96	2,04	1,64	1,35	1,06	1,08
Epirus	4,95	3,58	4,22	4,99	5,08	4,21
Thessaly	7,88	5,93	7,94	8,45	9,19	8,97
West. Macedonia	3,17	1,24	1,21	1,19	2,05	2,53
East. Macedonia-						
Thrace	7,64	8,18	9,37	8,84	7,21	6,24
Central Macedonia	37,43	34,94	31,84	29,90	29,74	27,45
South Aegean Island	2,11	1,24	1,08	1,09	1,30	1,65
North Aegean Island	2,64	1,69	1,54	1,08	0,65	0,68
Crete	6,08	5,89	5,56	5,12	6,17	7,56
Total	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00

ixStructure of Value of Land (1950-2000) %

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	1,04	1,09	1,20	2,41	6,23	7,51
Central Greece- Euboea	11,88	11,61	11,50	11,24	10,16	10,53
West. Greece	8,43	8,42	8,00	9,99	9,11	9,31
Peloponnese	9,90	9,59	7,46	6,29	4,28	4,46
Ionian Island	1,06	1,07	0,90	0,68	0,69	1,00
Epirus	3,93	3,55	2,93	2,47	1,79	1,52
Thessaly	15,59	14,73	16,97	18,23	20,57	18,68
West. Macedonia	6,56	7,12	6,43	3,63	3,98	3,23
East. Macedonia-						
Thrace	11,65	12,79	12,71	11,59	8,72	9,21
Central Macedonia	23,20	22,72	25,57	27,65	25,48	26,60
South Aegean Island	1,91	2,03	1,63	1,65	2,07	2,42
North Aegean Island	1,78	1,77	1,28	1,30	1,07	1,38
Crete	3,08	3,50	3,44	2,86	5,86	4,16
Total	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00

x Value of Land	(1950-2000)	Indices 1950 =	100

Regions	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Attica	100	68	56	116	388	973
Central Greece- Euboea	100	64	47	47	55	119
West. Greece	100	65	46	59	70	148
Peloponnese	100	63	37	32	28	60
Ionian Island	100	66	41	32	42	126
Epirus	100	59	36	31	29	52
Thessaly	100	62	53	59	85	161
West. Macedonia	100	71	48	28	39	66
East. Macedonia-						
Thrace	100	71	53	50	48	106
Central Macedonia	100	64	54	60	71	154
South Aegean Island	100	69	42	43	70	170
North Aegean Island	100	65	35	37	39	104
Crete	100	74	55	47	123	182
Total	100	65	49	50	64	134

xiIrrigated land (in thousand stremmas):

1929	1.780
1940	2.700
1950	2.300
1960	4.100
1970	7.200
1980	9.606
1990	13.000

Source: Bank of Greece (1978) and Papaelias (1992)

xii In a next article production functions are estimated per thirty years: 1911-1940, 1950-1980 and 1980-2010 with the aim of measuring the increase in revenue due to technological change

xiiiThe econometric approach will be demonstrated in a next article with data as above

#### References

Andreades, A. (1919), "Les Progrès Economique de la Grèce"

Bank of Greece (1978), "The First 50 years of the Bank of Greece", *Ministry of Agriculture*, "Reports various years", Athens

Evelpides, C. (1950), "Economic and Social History of Greece", Athens

Evelpides, C. (1957), "Agricultural Economics", Athens

George, H. (1879), "Progress and Poverty"

Karavidas, K. (1931), "Agrotika", Athens,

Kayser, B. (1964), "Géographie Humaine de la Grèce"

Mouzelis, N. (1978), "Modern Greece: Facts of Underdevelopment", Macmillan, London

Papaelias, T. (1992), "Investments, Capital & Productivity in the Agricultural Sector 1911-1990", *ATE*, Athens

Papaelias, T. and Panagou, V. (2003), "Balance Sheets in the Greek Agricultural per Regions and Country (1950, 60, 70, 80, 90, 2000)", Athens

Papailias, M. (2012), "Financial and Accounting Summary of the Greek Agricultural Sector 1950-2000: Central Greece", *EBEEC*, Bulgaria, Sofia.

Sakelaropoulos, T. (1991), "Institutional Transformation and Economic Development (1830-1922)", Athens,

Sideris, A. (1933), "The Agricultural Policy in Greece During the Period 1833-1933"

Soutsos, I. (1868), "Ploutologie", Athens

Stefanides, D. (1948), "Agricultural Policy", Athens

Tsoukalas, K. (1983), "Social Development and State: The Formation of Public Space in Greece", Athens

Vergopoulos, K. (1975), "Le Capitalisme Difforme et la Nouvelle Question Agraire", Maspero, Paris

Zografos, D. (1924), "History of the Greek Agriculture", *Greek National Statistical Service*, "Agricultural Statistics", Various Years